UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES ED

		08 JUL 21 PM 4: UB This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp
_ (, i	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK OF CALIFORNIA
ŢO	U. S. DISTRIC	CT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
FR	OM J. Paris,	Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: DEPUTY 7/15/08
CA	SE NO.: <u>08cv115</u> 4	DOCUMENT FILED BY: Mr. Contreras
CASE TITLE: Contreras v. Brudzinski		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion to Amend		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy
X	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
X		Supplemental documents require court order
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
		OTHER:
		Date forwarded: 7/15/08
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE T IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
\lnot /		
<u>-</u>	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received. The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties. Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
_#		
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant	
	to Local Rule 83.1	CHAMBERS OF: SAMMACTINO
	Dated: 7 21 cc: All Parties	08 By: